

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,403	CAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Johnson	1725	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christina Johnson.

(2) George Grove.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 5, 7

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SRE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 2, 5, and 7 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to place claims 2, 5, and 7 in proper Markush form as set forth in the MPEP. Applicant's representative agreed to the change to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon change can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..